

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,381	09/12/2000	Ernst F. Schroder	RCA 90,316	6814
,	7590 07/16/2003			
Joseph S Tripoli			EXAMINER	
Patent Operations Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc			BROWN, VERNAL U	
CN 5312	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 08543-0028			ARI UNII	FAFER NUMBER
			2635	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/660,381	SCHRODER, ERNS	TF.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vernal U Brown	2635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indication of the application	ation. A proper reply	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final of	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,	see NOTE below),	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in	• •	rially reducing or sin	nalifying the
issues for appeal; and/or			
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5.★ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:	MICHAEL H SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY C	ENT EXAMINER	A
	Pill Pill	menon / Luly	/ [

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Launcey et al. teaches a plurality of microphones connected to the device (col. 10 lines 3-16) which constitutes an array of microphones as broadly claimed in claim 1. The specifics of the microphone array which the applicant argues are not in the claims. The reference of Lea is used to show the usuage of the IEEE 1394 bus in home appliances .